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#### Abstract

Summary Background Human papillomaviruses (HPVs) cause genital warts and cancers in men. The natural history of HPV infection in men is largely unknown, and that information is needed to inform prevention strategies. The goal in this study was to estimate incidence and clearance of type-specific genital HPV infection in men, and to assess the associated factors.

Methods Men (aged 18-70 years), residing in Brazil, Mexico, and the USA, who were HIV negative and reported no history of cancer were recruited from the general population, universities, and organised health-care systems. They were assessed every 6 months for a median follow-up of $27 \cdot 5$ months ( $18 \cdot 0-31 \cdot 2$ ). Specimens from the coronal sulcus, glans penis, shaft, and scrotum were obtained for the assessment of the status of HPV genotypes.


Findings In 1159 men, the incidence of a new genital HPV infection was 38.4 per 1000 person months ( $95 \%$ CI $34 \cdot 3-43 \cdot 0$ ). Oncogenic HPV infection was significantly associated with having a high number of lifetime female sexual partners (hazard ratio $2 \cdot 40,1 \cdot 38-4 \cdot 18$, for at least 50 partners $v s$ not more than one partner), and number of male anal-sexual partners (2.57, 1.46-4.49, for at least three male partners vs no recent partners). Median duration of HPV infection was $7 \cdot 52$ months ( $6 \cdot 80-8 \cdot 61$ ) for any HPV and $12 \cdot 19$ months (7-16-18.17) for HPV 16. Clearance of oncogenic HPV infection decreased in men with a high number of lifetime female partners ( $0 \cdot 49$, $0 \cdot 31-0 \cdot 76$, for at least 50 female partners vs not more than one partner), and in men in Brazil ( $0 \cdot 71,0.56-0.91$ ) and Mexico ( $0.73,0.57-0.94$ ) compared with the USA. Clearance of oncogenic HPV was more rapid with increasing age (1.02, 1.01-1.03).

Interpretation The data from this study are useful for the development of realistic cost-effectiveness models for male HPV vaccination internationally.

Funding National Cancer Institute.

## Introduction

Infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) is the cause of several different diseases in men and women. ${ }^{1}$ In the USA, an estimated 32000 cases of cancers in men and women in 2009 were attributable to HPV infection. ${ }^{2}$ These were cancers of the cervix, vagina, vulva, penis, oral cavity, head and neck, and anal canal. Anogenital warts are the most common outcome of HPV, with 205 cases per 100000 diagnosed every year in the USA. ${ }^{3}$ In addition to the diseases HPV causes directly in men, the virus is readily transmitted from men to women and greatly affects the risk of disease in women. ${ }^{48}$ Because male sexual behaviour affects rates of HPV infection and disease in female partners, an improved understanding of the infection in men is an essential component of HPV-related cancer prevention.
Substantial progress has been made in our understanding of the efficacy of the interventions for prevention, such as vaccination to reduce HPV-related diseases in women. ${ }^{9}$ However, little is known about HPV infection in men, with only a few small prospective studies undertaken in Europe, ${ }^{10-12}$ Latin America, ${ }^{13}$ and the USA. ${ }^{14,15}$ Therefore, the optimum strategies for prevention of HPV infection in men are not known.

Our aim in this study was to define the incidence and clearance of type-specific HPV infection in a cohort of men who were residing in Brazil, Mexico, and the USA, and to assess infection-associated factors.

## Methods

## Study population

The HPV in Men (HIM) study was a continuous prospective study of the natural history of HPV infections in men in three countries. Men were eligible for participation if they were aged $18-70$ years; residents of southern Florida, USA, São Paulo, Brazil, or Cuernavaca, Mexico; reported no previous diagnosis of penile or anal cancers; reported no previous diagnosis of genital or anal warts; had not participated in an HPV vaccine study; reported no previous diagnosis of HIV; reported no current penile discharge or burning during urination; were not being treated for sexually transmitted infection; had not been imprisoned or homeless during the past 6 months; had not received drug treatment during the past 6 months; had no plans to relocate in the next 4 years; and were willing to comply with ten scheduled visits every 6 months for 4 years. Men were recruited according to three age groups ( $18-30$ years,
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31-44 years, and 45-70 years) from three different population sources-general population, universities, and organised health-care systems. In Brazil, men were recruited from a large clinic in São Paulo that was providing genitourinary services, including tests for HIV and sexually transmitted infections, and the general population through television, radio, and newspaper advertisements. In Mexico, men were recruited in Cuernavaca and Morelos, through a large health plan,

|  | Subcohort ( $\mathrm{n}=1159$ ) | Did not enrol ( $\mathrm{n}=50$ ) | Full cohort ( $\mathrm{n}=4074$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years)* |  |  |  |
| Median (range) | 30 (18-70) | 28 (18-68) | 31 (18-70) |
| Mean (SD) | 32.1 (10.8) | $31 \cdot 3$ (10.8) | $32 \cdot 5$ (11.1) |
| 18-30 | 598 (52\%) | 30 (60\%) | 1989 (49\%) |
| 31-44 | 452 (39\%) | 15 (30\%) | 1558 (38\%) |
| 45-70 | 109 (9.4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 527 (13\%) |
| Country of residence* |  |  |  |
| USA | 417 (36\%) | 24 (48\%) | 1343 (33\%) |
| Brazil | 382 (33\%) | 9 (18\%) | 1401 (34\%) |
| Mexico | 360 (31\%) | 17 (34\%) | 1330 (33\%) |
| Race |  |  |  |
| White | 535 (46\%) | 17 (34\%) | 1825 (45\%) |
| Black | 171 (15\%) | 8 (16\%) | 636 (16\%) |
| Asian | 25 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 112 (3\%) |
| American Indian or Alaskan | 23 (2\%) | 0 | 80 (2\%) |
| Mixed | 389 (34\%) | 20 (40\%) | 1358 (33\%) |
| Refused to answer | 16 (1\%) | 2 (4\%) | 63 (2\%) |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| Single or never married | 543 (47\%) | 24 (48\%) | 1838 (45\%) |
| Married | 385 (33\%) | 16 (32\%) | 1384 (34\%) |
| Cohabiting | 133 (11\%) | 5 (10\%) | 484 (12\%) |
| Divorced, separated, or widowed | 88 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) | 357 (9\%) |
| Refused to answer | 10 (<1\%) | 0 | 11 (<1\%) |
| Education (years)* |  |  |  |
| $<12$ | 259 (22\%) | 13 (26\%) | 900 (22\%) |
| 12 | 283 (24\%) | 9 (18\%) | 1089 (27\%) |
| 13-15 | 330 (28\%) | 20 (40\%) | 1038 (25\%) |
| 16 | 218 (19\%) | 6 (12\%) | 785 (19\%) |
| $\geq 17$ | 59 (5\%) | 2 (4\%) | 248 (6\%) |
| Refused to answer | 10 (<1\%) | 0 | 14 (<1\%) |
| Sexual orientation |  |  |  |
| Had no sex | 56 (5\%) | 2 (4\%) | 237 (6\%) |
| MSW | 976 (84\%) | 43 (86\%) | 3429 (84\%) |
| MSM | 122 (11\%) | 3 (6\%) | 393 (10\%) |
| Refused to answer | 5 (<1\%) | 2 (4\%) | 15 (<1\%) |
| Circumcision* |  |  |  |
| No | 673 (58\%) | 27 (54\%) | 2583 (63\%) |
| Yes | 486 (42\%) | 23 (46\%) | 1491 (37\%) |
| Current smoker |  |  |  |
| No | 868 (75\%) | 36 (72\%) | 3104 (76\%) |
| Yes | 289 (25\%) | 14 (28\%) | 963 (24\%) |
| Refused to answer | 2 (<1\%) | 0 | 7 (<1\%) |
|  |  | (C | (ontinues on next page) |

from factories and the military. In the USA, men were mainly recruited from the University of South Florida and the general community in Tampa, FL. A full description of cohort procedures, HPV prevalence, and factors associated with prevalent infections has already been reported. ${ }^{16,17}$
Men who were eligible to participate reviewed a written informed consent with a trained study team member who gave men the opportunity to ask questions and decline participation if they desired. Before study initiation, the human-subjects' committees of the University of South Florida, FL, USA, the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, São Paulo, Brazil, the Centro de Referencia e Tratamento de Doencas Sexualmente Transmissiveis e AIDS, São Paulo, Brazil, and the National Institute of Public Health of Mexico, Cuernavaca, Mexico, approved all study procedures.
Men who provided consent underwent a clinical examination at a visit 2 weeks before the enrolment visit and every 6 months thereafter. Only men who returned for the enrolment visit were included in the study. Samples of penile and scrotal cells were obtained at each visit. Clinical and laboratory measurements were detection of HPV DNA by use of PCR, and genotyping. At each study visit, participants completed a computerassisted self-interview questionnaire that we previously showed to elicit reliable responses. ${ }^{18}$ To encourage compliance with follow-up, men were given compensation for their participation.

## Procedures

By use of Dacron (Digene, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) swabs prewetted with saline, three separate specimens were obtained from the coronal sulcus, glans penis, penile shaft, and scrotum, and placed in $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Specimen Transport Medium, and combined into one sample before DNA extraction. All specimens were stored at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until PCR analyses and genotyping were undertaken. We have previously shown the validity of these three anatomical sites in the assessment of HPV status, and high sampling reproducibility for the detection of HPV DNA by use of this method. ${ }^{19}$ For HPV analyses, DNA was extracted with the Media Kit (QIAGen, Valencia, CA, USA) on a robotic system according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. HPV testing was undertaken by use of PCR for amplification of a fragment of the $L 1$ gene. ${ }^{20}$
Specimens were tested for the presence of HPV by amplification of 30 ng of DNA with the PGMY09/11 L1 consensus primer system. ${ }^{20}$ HPV genotyping was done with the linear array method ${ }^{21}$ on all samples irrespective of the HPV PCR result (Roche Molecular Diagnostics, Alameda, CA, USA). Only samples that tested positive for $\beta$ globin ( $99 \%$ at enrolment) were judged to be adequate and included in the analysis. Before genotyping, the amplification products were run on $2 \%$ agarose gels to visualise a 450 bp band corresponding to HPV
amplification for identification of samples that might have a HPV type other than the 37 types analysed in the genotyping assay. Samples in which HPV was amplified on PCR, but did not hybridise with a specific HPV type during the genotyping assay (eg, unclassified infections), were classified as HPV negative. The HPV types that were classified as oncogenic were $16,18,31,33,35,39,45$, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and $66 .{ }^{22}$ The non-oncogenic types were $6,11,26,40,42,53,54,55,61,62,64,67,68,69,70$, $71,72,73,81,82,83,84$, IS39, and CP6108.

## Statistical analysis

Sociodemographic and sexual behavioural characteristics of participants, non-participants, and the full cohort were compared by use of Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and Van der Waerden's normal score test for continuous variables. Incidence and median time to clearance were estimated for any, oncogenic, and nononcogenic HPV types, and for every specific HPV type. The classification of any HPV was defined as a positive test result for at least one of 37 HPV genotypes. HPV infections with single or multiple oncogenic virus types were classified as oncogenic. Non-oncogenic infections were those that tested positive for only non-oncogenic HPV type(s). For estimates of any or type-specific HPV incidence, only participants who were free of any or a specific HPV type, respectively, at enrolment were included. Since multiple infections are possible within an individual, multiple positive tests were judged as a separate event. Person time for newly acquired HPV infection was estimated by use of the time from study entry to the date of the first detection of HPV DNA, assuming a new infection arose at the date of detection. The calculation of the exact $95 \%$ CIs for incidence estimates was based on the number of events modelled as a Poisson variable for the total person months. ${ }^{23}$
Cumulative risk of acquisition of a new infection was estimated for any HPV, HPV 16, oncogenic HPV, and nononcogenic HPV infections with the Kaplan-Meier method. Factors associated with these outcomes were assessed with proportional hazards regression. Backward-selection method, with a significance threshold of $0 \cdot 05$, was used to identify variables included in the final multivariable model. Candidate variables included race, education, marital status, smoking status, circumcision status, lifetime number of female partners, lifetime number of male anal-sex partners, number of female partners in the past 3 months, and number of male anal-sex partners in the past 3 months. Country (USA, Brazil, and Mexico) and age (years) were included in all models as design factors.
HPV clearance was defined as a participant testing HPV negative at two consecutive visits after testing positive. The probability of maintaining an HPV infection present at the first visit or an incident infection acquired during the study period was assessed by use of the Kaplan-Meier method. Because multiple infections were possible per man, analyses were undertaken for

|  | Subcohort ( $\mathrm{n}=1159$ ) | Did not enrol ( $\mathrm{n}=50$ ) | Full cohort ( $\mathrm{n}=4074$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Continued from previous page) |  |  |  |
| Lifetime female sex partners |  |  |  |
| Median (range) | 6 (0-1000) | 5 (0-25) | 6 (0-5000) |
| Mean (SD) | 14.8 (50.5) | $7 \cdot 1$ (6.4) | 17 (100.1) |
| 0-1 | 218 (19\%) | 8 (16\%) | 718 (18\%) |
| 2-9 | 480 (41\%) | 24 (48\%) | 1622 (40\%) |
| 10-49 | 336 (29\%) | 14 (28\%) | 1272 (31\%) |
| $\geq 50$ | 58 (5\%) | 0 | 229 (6\%) |
| Refused to answer | 67 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 233 (6\%) |
| Female sex partners in past 3-6 months* $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
| None | 306 (26\%) | 7 (14\%) | 1243 (31\%) |
| 1 | 474 (41\%) | 15 (30\%) | 1655 (41\%) |
| 2 | 142 (12\%) | 17 (34\%) | 519 (13\%) |
| $\geq 3$ | 115 (10\%) | 9 (18\%) | 531 (13\%) |
| Refused to answer | 122 (11\%) | 2 (4\%) | 126 (3\%) |
| Lifetime male anal-sex partners* |  |  |  |
| Median (range) | 0 (0-2000) | 0 (0-30) | 0 (0-2500) |
| Mean (SD) | $7 \cdot 6$ (82.5) | $0 \cdot 9$ (4.5) | 4.0 (58.5) |
| 0-1 | 987 (85\%) | 42 (84\%) | 3600 (88\%) |
| 2-9 | 76 (7\%) | 2 (4\%) | 228 (6\%) |
| 10-49 | 21 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 110 (3\%) |
| $\geq 50$ | 23 (2\%) | 0 | 50 (1\%) |
| Refused to answer | 52 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 86 (2\%) |
| Male anal-sex partners in past 3 months* |  |  |  |
| None | 1069 (92\%) | 48 (96\%) | 3807 (93\%) |
| 1 | 36 (3\%) | 1 (2\%) | 100 (2\%) |
| 2 | 10 (<1\%) | 0 | 47 (1\%) |
| $\geq 3$ | 37 (3\%) | 1 (2\%) | 95 (2\%) |
| Refused to answer | 7 (<1\%) | 0 | 25 (<1\%) |
| HPV status (positive for at least one genotype) |  |  |  |
| Negative | 575 (50\%) | 28 (56\%) | 1993 (50\%) |
| Positive | 584 (50\%) | 22 (44\%) | 2081 (51\%) |
| Diagnosis of sexually transmitted infectious disease, ever |  |  |  |
| Yes | 169 (15\%) | 5 (10\%) | 658 (16\%) |
| No | 957 (83\%) | 44 (88\%) | 3288 (81\%) |
| Do not know | 30 (3\%) | 1 (2\%) | 120 (3\%) |
| Refused to answer | 3 (<1\%) | 0 | 8 (<1\%) |
| Data are number (\%), unless otherwise indicated. MSW=men who have sex with women. MSM=men who have sex with men. *Significant difference between subcohort and men from the full cohort not included in this analysis at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ with Fisher's exact test. $\dagger$ Significant difference between subcohort and did not enrol group at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ with Fisher's exact test. Responses that were refusals for each characteristic were not included for calculations of $p$ values. |  |  |  |

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of men enrolled and not enrolled
individual type-specific HPV clearance. The robust sandwich estimator was used for the covariance matrix to account for within-subject correlations. ${ }^{24}$ The proportional hazards assumption for the Cox models was tested ${ }^{25}$ and although no gross violations were shown, HPV status at enrolment, country, age, and total number of female partners were not proportional over time in some of the HPV incidence or clearance models. However, because these covariates might be important factors in the epidemiology of HPV infection, they were retained in the final models.

All analyses were done with SAS (version 9.2), tests were two sided, and had a significance level of $0 \cdot 05$.

## Role of the funding source

Study sponsors had no role in the study design, data collection, or analysis. The corresponding author had full access to all data in the study, and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

## Results

Study recruitment began in July, 2005, and ended in September, 2009, and 4299 men provided consent1443 from Brazil, 1429 from Mexico, and 1427 from the USA. The first 1159 men (subcohort) recruited from June, 2005, to December, 2006, who completed a minimum of 2 weeks of follow-up (median 27.5 months, range 0.5 to $40 \cdot 5$; mean $23 \cdot 6$ months, IQR $18 \cdot 0-31 \cdot 2$ )
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|  |  |  | - 18-30 years, median clearance time 9.13 months ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 6.93-11.50) <br> 31-44 years, median clearance time 7.16 months (95\% Cl 6.47-9.07) <br> 45-70 years, median clearance time 6.47 months (95\% Cl 5.95-9.33) <br> Total median clearance time 7.62 months (95\% CI 6.77-9.33) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 36 |
| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline | 6 months | 12 months | 18 months | 24 months | 30 months | 36 months |
| 435 | 315 | 142 | 76 | 40 | 14 | 3 |
| 358 | 221 | 100 | 53 | 25 | 8 | 0 |
| 86 | 44 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 879 | 580 | 256 | 138 | 68 | 22 | 3 |

Figure: Kaplan Meier estimates of the cumulative incidence and time to clearance of any, type 16, oncogenic, and non-oncogenic human papillomavirus (HPV) infections by age
(A) Incidence of any HPV.
(B) Incidence of HPV 16.
(C) Incidence of oncogenic HPV. (D) Incidence of non-oncogenic HPV. (E) Clearance of any HPV. (F) Clearance of HPV 16. (G) Clearance of oncogenic HPV. (H) Clearance of non-oncogenic HPV. $\mathrm{NE}=$ non estimable. *For overall difference in either HPV incidence or clearance by age group.

|  | Any HPV |  | Oncogenic HPV |  | Non-oncogenic HPV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univariate | Multivariate* | Univariate | Multivariate* | Univariate | Multivariate $\dagger$ |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Brazil | 1.07 (0.82-1.40) | 0.93 (0.69-1.27) | 0.89 (0.69-1.15) | 0.81 (0.61-1.09) | 1.56 (1.2-2.03) | 2.04 (1.47-2.83) |
| Mexico | 0.82 (0.63-1.08) | 0.83 (0.63-1.10) | 0.65 (0.49-0.86) | 0.75 (0.56-1.00) | 0.99 (0.75-1.31) | 1.27 (0.90-1.78) |
| Age | 1.00 (0.99-1.00) | 0.99 (0.98-1.00) | 0.99 (0.98-1.00) | 0.99 (0.98-1.00) | 1.00 (0.99-1.01) | 0.99 (0.98-1.00) |
| Current smoker |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.25 (0.97-1.62) | 1.33 (1.01-1.74) |
| Education (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.25 (0.89-1.77) | 1.25 (0.87-1.80) |
| 13-15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.33 (0.96-1.85) | 1.77 (1.19-2.61) |
| 16 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.22 (0.85-1.75) | 1.35 (0.92-1.98) |
| $\geq 17$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1.64 (0.97-2.75) | 2.17 (1.23-3.81) |
| Lifetime female sexual partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2-9 | 1.24 (0.92-1.66) | 1.42 (1.04-1.94) | 1.00 (0.73-1.36) | 1.18 (0.85-1.64) | 1.30 (0.96-1.78) | 1.39 (1.01-1.90) |
| 10-49 | 2.18 (1.57-3.04) | 2.74 (1.92-3.92) | 1.65 (1.19-2.29) | $2 \cdot 18$ (1.53-3.12) | 2.15 (1.55-2.97) | 2.12 (1.51-2.97) |
| $\geq 50$ | 1.25 (0.62-2.52) | 1.72 (0.81-3.65) | 1.83 (1.09-3.06) | 2.40 (1.38-4.18) | 1.52 (0.78-2.99) | 1.53 (0.74-3.15) |
| Refused to answer | 1.50 (0.84-2.68) | 1.89 (0.99-3.62) | 1.43 (0.84-2.42) | 2.08 (1.17-3.72) | 1.69 (0.94-3.03) | 1.59 (0.81-3.15) |
| Male anal-sex partners in past 3 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | NA |
| 1 | 1.16 (0.63-2.12) | 1.34 (0.72-2.49) | 1.51 (0.85-2.70) | 1.70 (0.94-3.07) | NA | NA |
| 2 | 2.47 (0.61-9.95) | $3.17(0.76-13 \cdot 15)$ | 1.27 (0.41-3.95) | 1.52 (0.48-4.86) | NA | NA |
| $\geq 3$ | 1.66 (0.93-2.97) | 2.33 (1.23-4.41) | 1.85 (1.12-3.06) | 2.57 (1.46-4.49) | NA | NA |

Data are hazard ratios ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ). NA=not applicable. *Adjusted for country, age (continuous), lifetime number of female sexual partners, and recent number of male anal-sex partners. †Adjusted for country, age (continuous), current smoking status, years of education, and lifetime number of female sexual partners.

Table 3: Factors independently associated with infection with human papillomavirus (HPV)
were followed up until March, 2009. 132 (11\%) of 1159 men completed 2 weeks of follow-up, 94 ( $8 \%$ ) completed 6 months, 82 (7\%) completed 12 months, 118 (10\%) completed 18 months, 247 (21\%) completed 24 months, $373(32 \%)$ completed 30 months, and 113 ( $10 \%$ ) completed 36 months. Participants and non-participants, and men in the subcohort and full cohort were similar for most demographic and behavioural characteristics (table 1). Mean age of participants was $32 \cdot 1$ years (SD 10.8). In the subcohort, the most common self-reported race was white, most men were uncircumcised, and most were non-smokers at enrolment (table 1). 46 (15\%) of 306 men who reported no female sexual partners in the past 3-6 months reported sexual intercourse with men.
Oncogenic HPV types with the highest incidences per 1000 person months were $16,51,52$, and 59 (table 2). Non-oncogenic HPV types with the highest incidences per 1000 person months were $6,62,84$, and CP6108 (table 2). The incidences of any, non-oncogenic, or oncogenic HPV infections did not vary with age (figure). Differences in cumulative incidences were also noted by country (webappendix pp 2-4). At 12 months, Brazil had the highest incidence of oncogenic infection ( $\mathrm{p}=0.0109$ )
and non-oncogenic ( $\mathrm{p}<0 \cdot 0001$ ) infection; however, the risk of HPV 16 infection was highest in Mexico ( $\mathrm{p}=0 \cdot 0195$; webappendix p 2).
Median time to clearance of infection of any HPV type was significantly longer in men aged 18-30 years than in the other age groups (figure). For the oncogenic HPV types, median time to clearance was longest for HPV types 16, 35, and 51 (table 2). For the nononcogenic HPV types, median time was longest for HPV types IS39, 40, and 62 (table 2). Median time to clearance of non-oncogenic and oncogenic infections was longest in men aged 18-30 years (figure). By contrast, median time to clearance of HPV 16 was not age dependent (figure). No differences in HPV clearance by country were noted (webappendix pp 5-8). At 12 months and 24 months, 885 ( $66 \%$ ) of 1342 and 1023 ( $90 \%$ ) of 1134 men, respectively, cleared newly acquired HPV infections. 31 (44\%) of 71 newly acquired HPV 16 infections persisted at 12 months and seven ( $13 \%$ ) of 56 persisted at 24 months. Five (22\%) of 23 HPV 6 infections, neither of two HPV 11 infections, one (7\%) of 14 HPV 16 infections, and none of six HPV 18 infections that initially cleared were detectable at a later study visit. In every case, reappearance of these

|  | Any HPV |  | Oncogenic HPV |  | Non-oncogenic HPV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univariate | Multivariate* | Univariate | Multivariate $\dagger$ | Univariate | Multivariate $\ddagger$ |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| USA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Brazil | 0.85 (0.75-0.96) | 0.69 (0.58-0.83) | 0.86 (0.72-1.02) | 0.71 (0.56-0.91) | 0.86 (0.73-1.00) | 0.81 (0.69-0.96) |
| Mexico | 0.86 (0.74-0.99) | 0.86 (0.67-1.11) | 0.81 (0.68-0.98) | 0.73 (0.57-0.94) | 0.89 (0.74-1.07) | 0.87 (0.73-1.04) |
| Age | 1.00 (1.00-1.01) | 1.01 (1.00-1.02) | 1.01(1.00-1.02) | 1.02 (1.01-1.03) | 1.00 (1.00-1.01) | 1.00 (1.00-1.01) |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| American Indian | 1.03 (0.73-1.46) | 1.19 (0.81-1.73) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Asian | 1.18 (0.64-2.21) | 1.24 (0.74-2.10) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Black | $1 \cdot 15$ (0.99-1.35) | 1.26 (1.08-1.48) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Mixed | 0.99 (0.86-1.13) | 0.98 (0.78-1.23) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Education (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | NA |
| 12 | 1.04 (0.87-1.23) | 1.08 (0.90-1.30) | 1.06 (0.85-1.33) | 1.20 (0.95-1.52) | NA | NA |
| 13-15 | 1.09 (0.92-1.28) | 0.98 (0.81-1.19) | 1.07 (0.86-1.33) | 1.02 (0.76-1.37) | NA | NA |
| 16 | 0.91 (0.76-1.08) | 0.80 (0.66-0.96) | 0.87 (0.68-1.10) | 0.83 (0.63-1.09) | NA | NA |
| $\geq 17$ | 1.16 (0.88-1.53) | 1.08 (0.83-1.42) | 1.18 (0.83-1.67) | $1 \cdot 10$ (0.76-1.60) | NA | NA |
| Lifetime female sexual partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | NA | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | NA |
| 2-9 | NA | NA | 0.74 (0.58-0.94) | 0.70 (0.55-0.90) | NA | NA |
| 10-49 | NA | NA | 0.70 (0.55-0.89) | 0.64 (0.51-0.82) | NA | NA |
| $\geq 50$ | NA | NA | 0.62 (0.40-0.96) | 0.49 (0.31-0.76) | NA | NA |
| Refused to answer | NA | NA | 0.86 (0.62-1.19) | 0.78 (0.55-1.10) | NA | NA |
| Female partners in past 3 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1 | 0.77 (0.66-0.90) | 0.78 (0.67-0.91) | NA | NA | 0.77 (0.63-0.93) | 0.76 (0.63-0.91) |
| 2 | 0.75 (0.61-0.91) | 0.77 (0.63-0.94) | NA | NA | 0.72 (0.56-0.93) | 0.72 (0.56-0.93) |
| $\geq 3$ | 0.71 (0.59-0.86) | 0.72 (0.60-0.88) | NA | NA | 0.69 (0.54-0.87) | 0.65 (0.51-0.82) |
| Refused to answer | 0.90 (0.71-1.13) | 0.95 (0.75-1.20) | NA | NA | 0.86 (0.65-1.14) | 0.91 (0.69-1.21) |
| Male anal-sex partners in past 3 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1 | 1.20 (0.82-1.77) | 1.10 (0.75-1.62) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2 | 1.00 (0.55-1.80) | 1.03 (0.57-1.83) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $\geq 3$ | 1.31(1.01-1.68) | 1.44 (1.10-1.88) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| HPV status at baseline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Negative | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Positive | 0.83 (0.74-0.93) | 0.85 (0.76-0.96) | 0.88 (0.76-1.04) | 0.95 (0.80-1.12) | 0.80 (0.69-0.92) | 0.81 (0.70-0.93) |

Data are hazard ratios ( $95 \% \mathrm{CII}$. *Adjusted for country, age (continuous), race, education, number of female partners in past 3 months, number of male anal-sex partners in the past 3 months, and HPV status at baseline. †Adjusted for country, age (continuous), education, lifetime number of female partners, and HPV status at baseline. $\ddagger$ Adjusted for country, age (continuous), number of female partners in the past 3 months, and HPV status at baseline.

Table 4: Factors independently associated with clearance of human papillomavirus (HPV) infections

HPV types was after a minimum of 12 months of nondetectable infection (data not shown).
In the multivariate analysis, acquisition of oncogenic HPV infection was significantly higher with ten to 49 or at least 50 lifetime female sexual partners compared with not more than one, and with at least three male anal-sex partners versus none in the previous 3 months (table 3). Acquisition of non-oncogenic HPV infection was significantly increased in men reporting two to nine and ten to 49 lifetime female sexual partners versus not more than
one, current smokers, those with 13-15 years and more than 17 years of education compared with those with less than 12 years of education, and in men residing in Brazil versus those living in the USA (table 3).
Men residing in Brazil and Mexico versus those living in the USA, and those reporting two to nine, ten to 49 , and at least 50 lifetime female partners compared with no more than one were less likely to clear an oncogenic infection (table 4). The probability of clearing an oncogenic HPV infection increased with increasing age
(table 4). Factors that reduced the likelihood of clearing a non-oncogenic infection were testing positive for HPV at enrolment, reporting a high number of female partners in the past 3 months (three partners compared with none), and residing in Brazil versus USA (table 4).

## Discussion

The incidence of genital HPV infection in men was high and relatively constant across age groups in Brazil, Mexico, and the USA. Acquisition of a new HPV infection was strongly associated with sexual behaviour with female and male sexual partners. Similarly, the probability of clearing an HPV infection was strongly associated with sexual behaviour. We noted no association with age and incidence of any, oncogenic, or non-oncogenic HPV types, although the probability of clearing these infections increased with age.
Although HPV prevalence in men has been reported in several cross-sectional studies, ${ }^{26}$ comprehensive sampling of the external genitalia or type-specific HPV incidence and clearance rates have been reported in few studies. Similar incidences to the overall HPV incidence reported in our study have been reported in studies of US students ${ }^{15}$ and community men. ${ }^{14}$ However, lower incidences were reported among Mexican ${ }^{13}$ and Danish military men. ${ }^{12}$ Because of differences in populations studied, age distribution, sampling protocols, follow-up intervals, and length of follow-up, a direct comparison of the studies is not possible. However, irrespective of the population studied, the results of all studies showed high rates of acquisition of HPV infection in men.
Whereas risk of HPV decreases with increasing age in women, ${ }^{27,28}$ men seem to have a stable risk for acquiring new HPV infections throughout their life. In a previous study of men in the USA, we noted that the incidence of HPV infection was constant over the age range $18-44$ years. ${ }^{14}$ In this study, the incidence was constant in men aged $18-70$ years and residing in Brazil, Mexico, and USA. HPV infections acquired late in life, and those acquired at young ages and persisting, might affect the risk of disease in men and affect transmission to sexual partners at older ages. This finding might partly account for the bimodal HPV prevalence with age noted among women in certain Latin American countries. ${ }^{29}$ Why there is a lack of an age association with HPV incidence in men is not known. In the HIM cohort, number of partners and new partners in the past 3 months was similar for the age groups, hence potentially providing continued exposure to HPV throughout life. Another possible explanation is sex differences in the HPV immune response. HPV infection of keratinised epithelium, such as the penile skin, might generate lower and weaker immune responses than infection of mucosal epithelium such as the cervix or anal canal. Men have a lower prevalence of antibodies to HPV than do women despite higher genital HPV DNA prevalence. ${ }^{30}$ In men who have circulating HPV antibodies, titres are much lower than in women. Higher exposures
(eg, large number of sexual partners) might be required in men to produce an immune response that confers protection against subsequent infections. If indeed men remain at high risk of acquiring new HPV infections throughout their lives, then vaccination of older men might be warranted.
We here report faster clearance of oncogenic HPV infections in men with increasing age. The more rapid clearance noted in older men might be related to a higher prevalence of HPV antibodies in older men. ${ }^{30}$ Caution should be taken in the interpretation of these results because we noted substantial variability in the clearance rates according to the HPV types in the group of viruses known to be carcinogenic, including HPV 16. Similar to what has been reported in women, the median time to clearance of HPV 16 is nearly two times longer (about 12 months) than with other oncogenic HPV types (eg, $6 \cdot 3$ months for HPV 18). Clearance of specific HPV types by age group needs to be further assessed in large studies.
Limitations need to be considered in the interpretion of the results of this epidemiological study. Because of the methods of recruitment and rigors of study participation, the cohort presented here is likely to be a select population. Therefore, the estimates of HPV incidence cannot be generalised to the men in the three countries. However, the assessment of factors associated with HPV acquisition and clearance are less prone to bias. HPV incidence might have been underestimated because we used the date of HPV detection as the date of occurrence. However, comparisons of incidence within the study population are probably less affected by this underestimation. HPV transmission to sexual partners was not directly studied.
The results from this study provide much needed data about the incidence and clearance of HPV infection in men; these data are essential for the development of realistic cost-effectiveness models for male HPV vaccination internationally.
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## Genital infection with HPV in men: research into practice

In The Lancet, Anna Giuliano and colleagues ${ }^{1}$ present a prospective study (HPV in Men [HIM]) of the incidence and clearance of human papillomavirus (HPV) infections in men. They also report on male sexual behaviour, which determines HPV incidence and clearance. The epidemiology of HPV infections in men is not well understood and thus the results are of substantial interest.
The results bring to light important new information, and draw attention to differences between the natural histories of male and female HPV infections, and the need for further studies to better define HPV transmission, progression to disease, and epithelial sites in men. Because HPV infection in men greatly affects disease risk in women, ${ }^{2}$ transmission and protection are important topics. However, circumcision and condom use have not been clearly shown to fully protect against either HPV acquisition or clearance in male genital sites, ${ }^{3,4}$ which questions their value in preventing infection in men and transmission to female partners.
Understanding male HPV infection is important to minimise anxiety and the health-care costs associated with genital warts, penile cancer treatment, and morbidity in men, in addition to addressing the acknowledged public health concern created by HPV infection in women. The HIM data on HPV incidence and clearance should be exploited to elaborate prevention guidance, and to minimise transmission and to aid management and associated concerns for couples. Because most HPV infections in men are asymptomatic, men are not aware of the need to use condoms, which underlines the importance of male HPV vaccination.
Apart from genital warts, which are induced in more than $90 \%$ of cases by HPV types 6 and 11 (with an estimated prevalence of about $1 \%$ before age 30 years, and which are equally common in men and women), other HPV-linked genital neoplasia in men are rare. Penile intraepithelial neoplasia is $10-20$ times less frequent than is cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN), and HPV-induced cancers of the penis are extremely rare (incidence of less than one in 100000 ). ${ }^{5}$ Extrapolation from the HIM data strongly suggests that the natural history of HPV is different in men and women, with high infection and low disease rates in men and low infection and high disease rates in women. The natural history
of oncogenic HPV infections in men should not be extrapolated to that seen in the cervical transformation zone. This highly attenuated susceptibility to HPV in men is probably due to local (mucosa vs skin) and immunological causes. Nonetheless, these diseases can also emerge in men because of immunosuppression. ${ }^{6}$
Controversy remains over the use of condoms to protect against HPV transmission. Cross-sectional studies suggest that condoms offer little protection against HPV infection, ${ }^{3,4}$ whereas consistent and high-frequency use of condoms can reduce the risk of HPV infection ${ }^{7}$ and CIN, with protection estimated in one prospective study at $70 \%$ for new infections. ${ }^{8}$
Discrepancies could be explained by the fact that genital HPV transmission can occur by contact other than vaginal intercourse, full condom coverage is not constant, and condoms reduce the risk of major HPV transmission from genital warts, in which viral replication is high (in our experience, similar lesions were seen in the partners of $50 \%$ of cases). By contrast, CIN in women is not associated with penile lesions in their male partners in more than $95 \%$ of cases. ${ }^{9}$ Also, treatment of genital HPV diseases in men does not affect the natural history or recurrence of CIN in female partners. ${ }^{10}$ Because the typical duration of CIN is 2-4 years and because the HIM data showed that male clearance occurs within a shorter time, the use of condoms as a protective measure or penile examination
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at the time of an abnormal cervical smear add little value in this context.
Existing limitations for the prevention of HPV transmission from men to women by use of condoms or circumcision have yet to be resolved. Vaccination is an effective means to protect men against lesions associated with the vaccineable HPV types, particularly genital warts and anal intraepithelial neoplasia. ${ }^{11,12}$ Genital warts are common, debilitating to young couples, difficult to treat, and costly to manage. ${ }^{13}$ The cost-benefit ratio of vaccinating men to protect women from cervical neoplasia has yet to be definitively established. However, as more diseases are prevented through male vaccination, notably anal cancer, the greater the cost-effectiveness of routine vaccination of both sexes. ${ }^{14}$
Although we will continue to encourage protective measures, condom use and safe sex practices are of little value in clinical practice-prevention of HPV transmission and its consequences remain unconfirmed. HPV vaccination of men will protect not only them but will also have implications for their sexual partners.
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