Consensus guidelines for the management of radiation dermatitis and coexisting acne-like rash in patients receiving radiotherapy plus EGFR inhibitors for the treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck
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Background: Radiation dermatitis occurs to some degree in most patients receiving radiotherapy, with or without chemotherapy. Patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) who receive radiotherapy in combination with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors, such as cetuximab, may develop a characteristic acne-like rash in addition to dermatitis.

Design: An advisory board of 11 experienced radiation oncologists, medical oncologists and dermatologists discussed the management options for skin reactions in patients receiving EGFR inhibitors and radiotherapy for SCCHN. Skin toxicity was categorised according to the National Cancer Institute—Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 3) grading.

Results: Both general and grade-specific approaches for the management of dermatitis in this patient group are presented. It was concluded that where EGFR inhibitor-related acne-like rash and dermatitis coexist within irradiated fields, management should be based on the grade of dermatitis: for grade 1 (or no dermatitis), treatment recommendations for EGFR-related acne-like rash outside irradiated fields should be followed; for grades 2 and above, treatment recommendations for dermatitis were proposed.

Conclusions: This paper presents comprehensive consensus guidelines for the treatment of dermatitis in patients with SCCHN receiving EGFR inhibitors in combination with radiotherapy.
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Introduction

External beam radiotherapy is the main nonsurgical treatment in patients with locoregionally advanced head and neck cancers. The acute side-effects of radiation therapy are well documented and include dermatitis, mucositis, xerostomia, weight loss, dysphagia, taste alteration, nausea and vomiting, pain and asthenia. When given without chemotherapy, altered fractionation regimens, including accelerated and hyperfractionated regimens, alone or combined [1], have largely replaced conventional radiation fractionation (70 Gy in 2 Gy fractions over a 7-week period). Reducing overall treatment time and/or increasing total radiation dose have improved locoregional control, although effects on overall survival were less significant [1, 2]. The improvements in locoregional control, however, are achieved at the expense of an increase in acute toxicity [1–3]. In a randomised phase III Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) study (RTOG 9003), the incidence of grade 3 or worse acute side-effects was...
35% for conventional fractionation and 54.5%, 50.4% and 58.8% for hyperfractionation, split-course accelerated fractionation and accelerated fractionation with concomitant boost, respectively [1].

**radiation dermatitis**

Radiation dermatitis is experienced, to various degrees, by the majority of patients undergoing radiotherapy for locoregionally advanced head and neck cancer (Table 1) [1, 2, 4–8]. In most patients, the radiation dermatitis is mild to moderate (grades 1 and 2), but ~20%–25% of patients experience severe reactions [9]. The incidence of severe reactions is dependent on the total radiation dose, the dose per fraction, the overall treatment time, beam type and energy and the surface area of the skin that is exposed to radiation [10]. In the RTOG 9003 study, the rates of acute grade 3/4 skin toxicity were slightly higher with hyperfractionation (11%) and accelerated fractionation with concomitant boost (11%) compared with standard fractionation (7%). It is well recognised that the addition of chemotherapy to radiotherapy (chemoradiotherapy) increases the acute side-effect profile of treatment [6, 11], particularly when combined with altered fractionation regimens. In a recently reported phase III study, in which the majority of patients received > 60 Gy with concomitant boost regimen, and 53% of patients also received chemoradiotherapy [12], the mean rates of grades 2, 3 and 4 radiation dermatitis were 54%, 20% and 4%, respectively [12]. The authors contrasted these rates with the corresponding rates of 49%, 8% and 0% observed over all arms of the RTOG 9003 study [1, 12]. The severity of acute reactions has been shown both to lead to enhanced late effects and to impact adversely on cosmesis, especially in patients with infected irradiated skin [13]. Finally, an association between the occurrence of radiation dermatitis and patient quality of life has been observed [12], and the impact of this on the well-being of the patient should not be underestimated.

**grading of radiation dermatitis**

Radiation dermatitis generally manifests within a few weeks after the start of radiotherapy. Its onset varies depending on the radiation dose intensity and the normal tissue sensitivity of individuals. As the cumulative dose of radiation increases, the transient erythema occurring during the first weeks of radiotherapy may evolve into the more persistent erythema to dry or even moist desquamation that reflects the damage to the basal cell layer and the sweat and sebaceous glands. A number of different systems have been developed by various organisations over the years (see Table 1), including the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the RTOG, World Health Organisation.

### Table 1. Incidence of grade 3+ radiation-associated skin toxic effects in patients receiving different radiotherapy regimens for head and neck cancers in randomised phase III trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of RT</th>
<th>Dosing regimen</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Toxicity grading scale</th>
<th>Grade 0–2 skin toxicity (%)</th>
<th>Grade ≥ 3 skin toxicity (%)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>70 Gy (35 2-Gy fractions in 7 weeks)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>EORTC</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11 (grade 3)</td>
<td>Calais et al. [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 (epidermitis)</td>
<td>Bourhis et al. [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>268</td>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (grade 3)</td>
<td>Fu et al. [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 (grade 3), 0.7 (grade 4)</td>
<td>Wendt et al. [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated RT</td>
<td>70.2 Gy (39 1.8-Gy fractions in 51 days)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wendt et al. [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated RT with split</td>
<td>67.2 Gy (42 1.6-Gy fractions b.i.d., 5 days/week in 6 weeks including a 2-week rest after 38.4 Gy)</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3 (grade 3)</td>
<td>Fu et al. [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very accelerated RT</td>
<td>62–64 Gy (31–32 2-Gy fractions in 23 days)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33 (epidermitis)</td>
<td>Bourhis et al. [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated RT with concomitant boost</td>
<td>72 Gy (42 1.8 Gy fractions, 5 days/week &gt;6 weeks with concomitant boost of 1.5 Gy/fraction/day for the final 12 treatment days)</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>11 (grade 3)</td>
<td>Fu et al. [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperfractionated RT</td>
<td>81.6 Gy (68 1.2-Gy fractions b.i.d., 5 days/week in 7 weeks)</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11 (grade 3), &lt;1 (grade 4)</td>
<td>Fu et al. [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperfractionated accelerated RT</td>
<td>77.6 Gy in 6 weeks (2.0 Gy q.i.d. to 14 Gy then 1.4 Gy b.i.d.)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>EORTC</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>46 (grade 3/4)</td>
<td>Budach et al. [7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMRT (not randomised)</td>
<td>Definitive IMRT (n = 52)*; mean dose 64.34–72.64 Gy; postoperative IMRT (n = 74); 60.95–68.53</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>RTOG</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18 (grade 3), 7 (grade 4)</td>
<td>Chao et al. [8]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unless otherwise stated, data are presented only for the trial arms in which patients received RT alone.

*Thirty five patients received concomitant cisplatin.

EORTC, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiotherapy; RT, radiotherapy; RTOG, Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; WHO, World Health Organisation.
Toxicity grading of radiation dermatitis according to the National Cancer Institute—Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events

Table 2. Toxicity grading of radiation dermatitis according to the National Cancer Institute—Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 3) [15]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Short name</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rash: dermatitis associated with radiation</td>
<td>Dermatitis</td>
<td>Faint erythema or dry desquamation</td>
<td>Moderate to brisk erythema; patchy moist desquamation, mostly confined to skin folds and creases; moderate oedema</td>
<td>Moist desquamation other than skin folds and creases; bleeding induced by minor trauma or abrasion</td>
<td>Skin necrosis or ulceration of full thickness dermis; spontaneous bleeding from involved site</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pathophysiological characteristics of radiation dermatitis

Irradiation of the skin leads to a complex pattern of direct tissue injury and inflammatory cell recruitment, involving damage to epidermal basal cells, endothelial cells and vascular components and a reduction in Langerhans cells [10] (and references contained therein). Radiation-induced keratinocyte damage induces DNA injury repair via activation of the p53 pathway and a simultaneous release of inflammatory cytokines as a consequence of the generation of free radicals. The main cytokines involved in this reaction are interleukins 1 and 6, tumour necrosis factor-α and transforming growth factor-β [16]. At the same time, keratinocytes demonstrate increased expression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), possibly as a mechanism for repopulating irradiated areas [17]. The up-regulation of EGFR may alter the cells interaction with EGFR inhibition, although cetuximab in combination with radiation has not exacerbated radiation dermatitis in clinical trials to date [9]. In severe radiation dermatitis, there is massive neutrophilic infiltration of the epidermis and profound apoptosis. With successive doses of radiation, the opportunity for tissue healing due to cellular repopulation is reduced, even over weekend interruptions of daily fractionated radiotherapy, thereby compounding the insult. Chronic radiation-induced changes in the skin are characterised by the disappearance of follicular structures, an increase in collagen and damage to elastic fibres in the dermis, and a fragile epidermal covering.

EGFR inhibitors in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck

EGFR inhibitors are increasingly being used in a range of tumour types in combination with standard therapies in an attempt to improve outcome. In 2006, the results of a randomised phase III study demonstrated that the addition of the EGFR-targeted IgG1 mAb, cetuximab, to radiotherapy resulted in statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvements in the duration of locoregional control and median overall survival versus radiotherapy alone in the treatment of locoregionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) [9]. This study led to the regulatory approval in a number of countries of cetuximab plus radiotherapy in this setting.

Skin reactions associated with EGFR inhibitors

EGFR inhibitor use can be associated with the development of skin reactions, including a macular, papular, pustular rash, commonly referred to as acne-like rash (or folliculitis); xerosis; fissures; telangiectasia; hyperpigmentation and hair and nail changes [18]. The most common skin reaction is the acne-like rash [18, 19], which is generally distributed in areas rich in sebaceous glands, such as the face, neck and retroauricular area, the shoulders, the upper trunk (V-shaped) and the scalp [18]. The acne-like rash comprises itchy erythematous follicular papules that may evolve into pustules which may conflate [18]. Other presentations include: diffuse erythema with follicular papulopustules and telangiectasia, a seborrhoeic dermatitis-like rash or, occasionally, an oedematous facial erythema [18]. In the absence of radiation, the acne-like rash can be seen within a few days of the commencement of treatment and peaks at 2–3 weeks after starting therapy. In some cases, the rash can be delayed, and in others flares can occur at each subsequent administration of the EGFR inhibitor. The majority of skin reactions seen with cetuximab are grade 1 or 2 (~80%) [20] and often resolve without the need for specialised treatment.

The pathophysiology of the skin reactions associated with EGFR inhibitors is still not well understood [21]. The EGFR is expressed at high levels in the epidermis and in the hair follicle, particularly in the proliferative basal cell layers, and EGFR is known to be essential to the regulation of several aspects of normal keratinocyte biology, including cell cycle progression, differentiation, cell movement and cellular survival [22]. Systemic administration of cetuximab results in up-regulation of the negative growth regulator, p27kip1, in epidermal keratinocytes, possibly leading to impairment of cell growth and differentiation [23]. In patients developing EGFR inhibitor-associated acne-like rash, basal keratinocytes in the epidermis and hair follicles display high levels of p53. In addition, inflammation is commonly found in the epidermal–dermal junction, accompanied by neutrophilic infiltration and damage to hair follicles.
Beneficial topical treatment approaches include anti-inflammatory or antibiotic medication, supplemented with saline compresses for grade 3 reactions [18], oral tetracyclines for grade 2+ reactions, with an appropriate antibiotic for *Staphylococcus aureus* superinfection, and oral antihistamines to reduce pruritus. The long-term use of corticosteroids is generally avoided due to their potential to induce or exacerbate acne and other skin conditions and to interfere with the antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity reactions thought to contribute to the antitumour effects of cetuximab [18].

**Radiation dermatitis in patients receiving radiotherapy and EGFR inhibitors**

Considering the fact that radiation is known to up-regulate EGFR in unirradiated skin, it would appear possible that there is some biological interplay between the pathophysiological effects of radiation on the skin and those of EGFR inhibitors. Coupled with preclinical findings showing that cetuximab demonstrates marked synergy with radiation in cancer cell lines and tumour models [24–26], the effect on the skin of a combination of cetuximab and radiation is of considerable interest, as it might require special care to reduce symptoms and severity. Interestingly, radiation appears to delay the onset of the cetuximab-induced acne-like rash. The cetuximab-associated acne-like rash typically appears within irradiated fields ~3–5 weeks after initiation of treatment [27]. There appears to be no obvious relationship between the severity of cetuximab-associated acne-like rash outside irradiated fields and the severity of radiation dermatitis.

The phase III randomised trial comparing radiotherapy with or without cetuximab in locoregionally advanced SCCHN revealed no statistically significantly increase in the incidence or severity of radiation dermatitis compared with radiotherapy alone (Table 3) [9]. The incidence of grade ≥ 3 radiation dermatitis was 18% with radiotherapy alone and 23% with radiotherapy plus cetuximab (*P* = 0.27). There was a slight increase in the median duration of radiation dermatitis in the cetuximab arm (11.1 weeks) compared with the radiotherapy-alone arm (9.4 weeks) [27].

**Table 3.** Incidence of grade 3/4 radiation dermatitis with radiotherapy ± cetuximab in locoregionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: data from a randomised phase III trial [9]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of RT</th>
<th>Dosing regimen</th>
<th>N = 424</th>
<th>All grades (%)</th>
<th>Grade ≥ 3 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RT alone (N = 212)</td>
<td>RT + cetuximab(N = 208)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once daily</td>
<td>70 Gy (35 2-Gy fractions in 7 weeks)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice daily</td>
<td>72–76.8 Gy in 60–64 fractions (10 1.2-Gy fractions for 6–6.5 weeks)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant boost</td>
<td>72 Gy in 42 fractions (Once-daily fractions; 1.8 Gy/fraction; 5 fractions/week for 3.6 weeks; twice-daily fractions; AM 21.6 Gy, 1.8 Gy/fraction; 5 fractions/week for 2.5 weeks. PM 18.0 Gy, 1.5 Gy/fraction; 5 fractions/week for 2.4 weeks)</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td><em>P</em> = 0.24</td>
<td><em>P</em> = 0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Toxicity assessed using Radiation Therapy Oncology Group toxicity scale; *P* value determined with the use of Fisher’s exact test.

RT, radiotherapy.
development of acute skin reactions [32]. The potential benefit of oral zinc supplementation in postponing the development of severe mucositis and dermatitis, and in alleviating the degree of mucositis and deramititis, in patients receiving radiotherapy for cancers of the head and neck [33] warrants additional confirmatory studies.

The goal of the advisory board meeting reported here was to develop consensus guidelines to help physicians effectively manage radiation dermatitis in patients receiving radiotherapy and concurrent EGFR inhibitor treatment for SCCHN. The advisory board panel comprised 11 specialists from seven countries in the fields of radiation and medical oncology and dermatology.

The major aim of managing skin reactions within irradiated areas is to minimise modification of the prescribed radiotherapy and/or EGFR inhibitor regimens, which might compromise efficacy. It is important to recognise that the choice of radiotherapy dose and schedule is at the discretion of the radiation oncologist and should not be influenced, in the absence of compelling data, by the concomitant administration of the EGFR inhibitor. While there is an increase in acute toxicity associated with altered fractionation, relative to conventional fractionation, there are no data to indicate that EGFR inhibitors, such as cetuximab, cannot be used with altered fractionation regimens. In fact, a majority of patients received altered fractionation regimens in combination with cetuximab in the recent phase III trial comparing combination treatment with radiotherapy alone [9].

General management of radiation dermatitis is presented along with treatment measures according to the NCI CTCAE (version 3) grading of dermatitis (Table 4), divided into three categories, i.e. grade 1, grades 2–3 and grade 4.

**General management**

- While the development of some degree of radiation dermatitis is considered inevitable for the majority of patients receiving radiotherapy, the establishment of a proper technique to minimise the dose delivered to the epidermis and a quality assurance programme for radiotherapy planning and delivery is critical not only in therapeutic terms but also from the perspective of avoiding unnecessary skin toxicity.
- A primary step in the management of radiation dermatitis of any grade is to establish that the skin reactions are not a result of any concomitant medication, other than the EGFR inhibitor. In the case of more severe skin reactions, it should also be verified that radiation dose and distribution are correct.

Following these actions, a number of steps, irrespective of the grade of radiation dermatitis, can be followed.

- It is recommended that the institutional policy for skin preparation before radiotherapy be adopted for patients scheduled to receive an EGFR inhibitor and radiotherapy. Patients should be encouraged to maintain good standards of hygiene. The irradiated area should be kept clean to minimise the risk of infection. Patients should wash the area with a gentle cleanser and dry it with a soft, clean towel. The use of a pH-neutral synthetic detergent is preferable to soap, which can irritate the skin.
- Topical treatment approaches may offer symptomatic relief and may help skin healing. Different areas of skin may require different treatment approaches.
  - Drying pastes may be appropriate for use within skin folds, where skin reactions remain moist.
  - Gels can be useful in seborrhoeic areas.
  - Creams can be used in areas outside skin folds and seborrhoeic areas.
  - Hydrophilic dressings may also be useful in moist areas. These are placed over the cleaned, dried wound and some can absorb wound exudate. They can be soothing for the patient and can help skin healing.
  - Greasy topical products should be avoided because they inhibit the absorption of wound exudate and promote superinfection.

Topical moisturisers, gels, emulsions and dressings should not be applied shortly before radiation treatment as they can cause a bolus effect, thereby artificially increasing the radiation dose to the epidermis. It is important to instruct patients to gently clean and dry the skin in the radiation field before each irradiation session.

- While the use of corticosteroids, often applied in some centres during the course of radiotherapy in head and neck cancer patients, is not contra-indicated in the presence of radiation dermatitis, it is suggested that the overall treatment time of any corticosteroid-containing treatment be limited.
- Pain relief for skin reactions should be considered in the context of any pain relief medication the patient may already be receiving in the course of their treatment, for instance, for mucositis.
- Patients should be advised to avoid:
  - Sun exposure wherever possible. This can be achieved by using soft clothing to cover the area and/or the use of mineral sunblocks.
  - The use of skin irritants, such as perfumes, deodorants or alcohol-based lotions.
  - Scratching of the skin in the affected area.
- General guidance on the treatment of cetuximab-associated skin reactions developing outside the irradiated area was reported elsewhere [18–20].

**prophylaxis**

There is currently no evidence that prophylactic treatments, beyond keeping the irradiated area clean and dry, are effective in reducing the incidence or severity of radiation dermatitis [31].

**grade 1 radiation dermatitis**

The NCI CTCAE (version 3.0) definition of grade 1 radiation dermatitis is faint erythema or dry desquamation [15]. Grade 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of radiation dermatitis</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition of radiation dermatitis (NCI CTCAE, v3.0)</td>
<td>Faint erythema or dry desquamation</td>
<td>Moderate to brisk erythema; patchy, moist desquamation, mostly confined to skin folds and creases; moderate oedema</td>
<td>Moist desquamation other than skin folds and creases; bleeding induced by minor trauma or abrasion</td>
<td>Skin necrosis or ulceration of full thickness of dermis; spontaneous bleeding from involved site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General management approaches**

- See General management
- Maintain hygiene and gently clean and dry skin in the radiation field shortly before radiotherapy
- Topical moisturisers, gels, emulsions and dressings should not be applied shortly before radiation treatment as they can cause a bolus effect, thereby artificially increasing the radiation dose to the epidermis

**Grade-specific management approaches**

- Use of a moisturiser is optional
- If anti-infective measures are desired, antibacterial moisturisers (e.g. triclosan or chlorhexidine-based cream) may be used occasionally
- Keep the irradiated area clean, even when ulcerated
- In the absence of clinical signs of infection, one or combinations of the following topical approaches may be used:
  - Drying gels, possibly with the addition of antiseptics (e.g. chlorhexidine-based creams)
  - An anti-inflammatory emulsion, such as trolamine
  - Hyaluronic acid cream
  - Hydrophilic dressings, applied after radiotherapy to the cleaned, irradiated area, which may provide symptomatic
  - Zinc oxide paste, if easy to remove prior to radiotherapy
  - When used, silver sulfadiazine or beta glucan cream should be applied after radiotherapy (possibly in the evening) after cleaning the irradiated area
  - Where infection is suspected:
    - The treating physician should use best clinical judgement for identifying infection, including the consideration of swabbing the area for identification of the infectious agent
    - Topical antibiotics (should not be used prophylactically)
    - Doxycycline is not recommended at this stage
    - Blood granulocyte counts should be checked, particularly if the patient is receiving concomitant chemotherapy
    - Blood cultures should be carried out if there are additional signs of sepsis and/or fever

**Management team**

- Can be managed primarily by nursing staff
- Can be managed by an integrated management team comprising the radiation oncologist, nurse, medical oncologist (where appropriate) and dermatologist, as required
- Skin reactions should be assessed at least once a week
- Verify that radiation dose and distribution are correct
- Requires specialised wound care with the assistance of the radiation oncologist, dermatologist and nurse, and should be treated on a case by case basis

Please see text for full details. NCI CTCAE, National Cancer Institute—Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events.
radiation dermatitis requires no specific treatment. Indeed, the most important step is to keep the area clean between treatments. The subsequent use of a nonperfumed moisturiser is optional. Moisturisers containing antibacterials (e.g. chlorhexidine or triclosan) can be used occasionally if anti-infective measures are considered appropriate. Overtreatment, including overuse of antiseptic creams, can irritate the skin. In general, skin reactions at this grade can be managed primarily by the nursing staff.

grades 2 and 3 radiation dermatitis

According to the NCI CTCAE (version 3.0) classification, grade 2 radiation dermatitis includes moderate to brisk erythema, patchy moist desquamation mostly confined to skin folds and creases and moderate oedema [15]. Grade 3 radiation dermatitis consists of moist desquamation other than skin folds or creases and bleeding induced by minor trauma or abrasion [15].

In grades 2 and 3 radiation dermatitis, as with grade 1, the irradiated area should be cleaned and dried, even when ulcerated. A number of topical applications can be considered. Examples are drying gels, with the addition of antiseptics if considered appropriate (e.g. chlorhexidine-based creams, but not chlorhexidine in alcohol), hydrophilic dressings, an anti-inflammatory emulsion (e.g. trolamine, hyaluronic acid cream) and zinc oxide paste, if considered sufficiently easy to remove before radiotherapy. Silver sulfadiazine or beta glucan cream may also be useful (but should only be applied after radiotherapy, possibly in the evening, after cleaning the irradiated area).

Where infection is suspected, the treating physician should use best clinical judgement for management, including considering swabbing the affected area for identification of the infectious agent. Topical antibiotics should be reserved for superinfection and should not be used prophylactically. Doxycycline is not recommended at this stage. In patients in whom skin infection is suspected or documented, the blood granulocyte count should also be checked, especially if the patient is also receiving concomitant chemotherapy. Indeed, severe desquamation is associated, in a number of cases, with a risk of septicaemia. Blood cultures should also be carried out if additional signs of sepsis and/or fever are present, particularly if the granulocyte count is low.

Grades 2 and 3 radiation dermatitis can be managed by an integrated team comprising the radiation oncologist, medical oncologist (where appropriate), nurse and dermatologist, as required. Skin reactions should be assessed at least once a week.

grade 4 radiation dermatitis

Grade 4 radiation dermatitis is defined by the NCI CTCAE (version 3.0) as skin necrosis or ulceration of full thickness dermis; spontaneous bleeding from the involved site [15]. Grade 4 radiation dermatitis is relatively rare, generally occurring in <5% of patients receiving radiotherapy for SCCHN. This stage of radiation dermatitis requires specialised wound care and should be treated on a case-by-case basis. Grade 4 radiation dermatitis should be managed primarily by a wound specialist, with the assistance of the radiation oncologist, medical oncologist (where appropriate), dermatologist and nurse, as required.

the management of coexisting radiation dermatitis and EGFR inhibitor-related acne-like rash within irradiated fields

Where there is coexistence of radiation dermatitis and EGFR inhibitor-related acne-like rash within an irradiated field, management depends on the grade of radiation dermatitis:

- For grade 1 radiation dermatitis (or no radiation dermatitis), it is prudent to follow the published general guidelines on the management of EGFR inhibitor-related acne-like rash outside irradiated fields [18–20].
- For grade 2 or higher radiation dermatitis, it is preferable to adhere to the management recommendations for radiation dermatitis, as outlined in this manuscript.

issues to be addressed

One of the problems hindering the effective reporting and management of acute radiation toxic effects, including radiation dermatitis, is, as discussed earlier, the use of varied, inconsistent toxicity criteria. The adoption of the NCI CTCAE version 3 by an increasing number of institutions is a step forward in achieving consistency in toxicity reporting. However, even with the use of standardised criteria, grading of reactions remains subjective and this will impede the interpretation of toxicity findings between clinical studies. One way to minimise discrepancy is to document skin toxicity photographically, thus enabling subsequent independent confirmation of gradings where necessary. It is recommended that digital photographic documentation be adopted as a standard practice in clinical trials.

It is clear that while commonly used topical products may help to manage the symptoms of radiation dermatitis and EGFR inhibitor-associated acne-like rash, there is little evidence to indicate that any of the currently available products can prevent the development of these skin reactions. Recently, the topical application of vitamin K3 (menadione), an EGFR phosphatase inhibitor, was shown to restore EGFR-mediated signalling in the skin secondary to systemic administration of the EGFR inhibitors, erlotinib and cetuximab [34]. In view of this, it would be interesting to formally test whether prophylactic application of menadione within a radiation field would alter the incidence, intensity and/or characteristics of EGFR inhibitor-associated acne-like rash developing within the irradiated field in patients receiving cetuximab and radiotherapy.

A greater understanding of the biological mechanisms responsible for the skin toxicity of the individual agents would lead to the development of rational and more effective management strategies for the skin reactions of patients receiving radiotherapy and EGFR inhibitors. The toxicity of the combination of cetuximab and radiotherapy in the clinical setting is being further studied in preclinical models and is being monitored in the ongoing pharmacovigilance...
programme. In addition, results from clinical trials currently being conducted by, among others, the RTOG and the French radiotherapy oncology group for head and neck cancer should provide more information on any toxicity interactions between the two treatments.
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